

National Mission for Clean Ganga
(Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation)
1st Floor, Major Dhyan Chand National Stadium
India Gate, New Delhi – 110002

File No. : T-17/2014-15/834/NMCG

Reply to queries raised in pre-bid meeting held on 21st July 2017
for

“Selection of Consultant for Performance Assessment and Rehabilitation of Existing STPS”

Issue Date: 24/07/2017

Sl No	Page No & Clause	RFP Terms	Clarifications Sought	Clarifications by Client
1.	Pg 19, Clause 2.14.3		Clause intends for BG as per Appendix 6. However , it is Appendix 7. Please clarify.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 1
2.	Pg 19 Clause 2.14 Bid Security 2.14.1	The Proposal shall be accompanied by a Bid Security for an amount of Rupees fifteen lakhs (Rs. 15,00,000/-) only. No relaxation of any kind in Bid Security shall be given to any Bidder.	To prepare bank guarantee BG swift: the following details are required: Beneficiary Name: Bank Name: IFSC Code: Branch:	The desired details are as under: Beneficiary Name: National Mission for Clean Ganga (NMCG) Bank Name: Union Bank of India IFSC Code: UBIN0534498 Branch: Sundar Nagar Branch, New Delhi-110003
			We request you to reduce the bid security amount to Rs. 5 Lakhs.	RFP Prevails
3.	Page 25, para 3.1.1 c	(c) The Sole Bidder or the Lead Member in case of a Consortium must have an office in India, operating for minimum last 10 Years and should be registered as Proprietary/ Partnership Firm/Private/Public Limited Company in India registered under the relevant Indian acts.	Does it mean that Foreign companies not registered in India will not be allowed to participate?	RFP Prevails Foreign company, not registered in India, can participate as a consortium member but not in the capacity of sole bidder or lead member of the consortium.

4.	Page 25-26, para 3.1.2 e	A copy of the stamped and notarized agreement entered into by the Consortium Members shall be submitted with the Bid. Roles, responsibilities and financial stakes of all member of the Consortium shall be clearly and unambiguously prescribed in the Consortium Agreement. In case of such non-prescription of roles, responsibilities and financial stakes of all members of the Consortium , the Consortium Agreement will be declared as invalid and the Bid may be treated as non-responsive	Submitting such an exhaustive JV agreement is not really useful at proposal stage having financial details. It can be simple JV agreement stating purpose of JV and role of partners in broad sense. Details JV agreement can be submitted after the award and before signing of Contract Agreement. Pl. confirm.	Suggestion accepted. The bidder may submit simple JV agreement along with proposal clearly outlining the purpose of JV and roles & responsibilities of partners. However, the successful bidder will be required to submit a detailed JV as indicated in the RFP before signing of contract.
5.	Page 26, para 3.1.3 a	For Sole Bidder: i. The revenue from providing consultancy services for eligible projects in the last three years i.e. FY 2014-15, FY2015-16 and FY2016-17 should not be less than Rupees 15 Crores in each year. Audited financial statement to be attached.	Pl. consider FY 2013-14, 2014-15 and FY2015-16 as audit for 2016-17 is in process of finalization. Audited statement for 2016-17 will not be available as of now as it is in process of finalization	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 2 If the audited account for the financial year 2016-17 are not available, the bidder can submit the provisional account with an undertaking to the effect that the bidder will be solely be responsible for discrepancies and variations and resulting impact on qualification status.
	Appendix 11, page 61		Audited financial results for 2016~17 may not be available. Please confirm whether provisional statements/ results can be acceptable.	
6.	Page 26, para 3.1.4	Technical Capability Criteria: (a) The Bidder shall over the past 7 (Seven) years preceding one (1) month of the Proposal Due Date, demonstrate experience in assignments as providing Project Development/ Project management/ Project Design & Supervision/ Project Design, Supervision, and Management	Pl. consider last 10 years of experience including that projects of water supply and pumping stations.	RFP Prevails

		consultancy services in any of the following areas.		
7.	Pg 26, Clause 3.1.3 (b) Pg 31, Sl No B	Financial Capability Criteria: The revenue from providing consultancy services for eligible projects in the last three years i.e FY 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17 should not be less than Rupees 15 Crores in each year The revenue from providing consultancy services for eligible projects in the last three years i.e. FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17 for each year 15 to 25 Crores - 2 marks 25 to 40 Crores – 4 marks Above 40 Crores – 5 marks	To generate 15 Cr of Revenue from a similar projects (sewerage) in each year means the consultant must handle projects yielding a consultancy fee of 75-100 Cr in this sector, which is very high and hence kindly consider overall consultancy turnover of the bidder in the last 3 financial years as 15 Cr in each year instead of revenue from eligible assignments. Considering Revenue of minimum 15 Cr from eligible assignments only will limit no of participants. Please consider the turnover instead of revenue to ensure healthy bidding process.	RFP Prevails
8.	Pg 26, Clause 3.1.3(b).i	For Consortium: i. In case of Consortium, the revenue from providing consultancy services for eligible projects of the Consortium shall be applicable for determination of eligibility of Consortium.	The revenue figures should be specified and it should be either together or alone by Lead bidder. It leaves the revue figure as vague. Please clarify.	RFP Prevails In case of Consortium, the revenue from providing consultancy services for eligible projects of the Consortium shall be applicable for determination of eligibility of Consortium, including all the members taken together.
9.	Pg 26, Clause No. 3.1.3 (d)		Clause intends for Financial capability statement as per Appendix 10, which shall be appendix 11. Please clarify.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 3
10.	Pg 26, Clause 3.1.3		We request you to consider the revenue from providing consultancy services from eligible projects in last 3 years i.e. 2014 15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, should not be less than Rs 10 crores in each year instead of 15 Crores.	RFP Prevails
11.	Pg 26, Clause 3.1.4(a)	The Bidder shall over the past 7 (Seven) years preceding one (1) month of the Proposal Due Date, demonstrate experience	While clause 3.1.1.(C)requires office presence for minimum 10 years, the bidder's experience is being sought over only last seven years. Does it mean that office	RFP Prevails

		in assignments as providing Project Development/ Project management/ Project Design & Supervision/ Project Design, Supervision.....	presence for 3 years is just empty. Further, it does not give value of work done in the past as only new entrant get the benefit and not really experienced companies. Please clarify.	
12.	3.0 Eligibility of Bidders, subsection 3.1.4 (e) Page 26		We request you to allow CVs/ resources of our parent Group/ Sister company for Key positions subject to undertaking by the proposed individual and our parent Group/ Sister company that proposed staff will be available for proposed duration of the project.	RFP Prevails It is permitted as per Clause 3.1.4 (e), which is self explanatory.
13.	Pg 27, Clause 3.1.4 last para.	The Sole Bidder or Member of the Consortium should have experience as Consultant for successfully implemented, designed and commissioned minimum three projects, which includes any or all of the above with value of the best three projects in above sectors as mentioned above in terms of value, not less than Rupees Fifty (50) Crores each.	Changes required – the consulting companies are not supposed to do commissioning of projects. Further also not the design. The implementation/designed/commissioned should be optional. How the best three projects will be decided? Is the value of Rs. 50 Crores in aggregate or individually?	The Sole Bidder or Member of the Consortium should have experience as Consultant for three projects in terms of value, not less than Rupees Fifty (50) Crore, in aggregate. Refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 4
14.	Pg 27 Clause 3.1.4 Technical Capability Criteria (a)	The Sole Bidder or Member of the Consortium should have experience as Consultant for successfully implemented, designed and commissioned minimum three projects, which includes any or all of the above with value of the best three projects in above sectors as mentioned above in terms of value, not less than Rupees Fifty (50) Crores each.	We understand that Rs 50 crores is the Project Cost and not the consultancy fee. Please confirm.	Yes, The understanding is correct.
15.	Pg 27 Clause 3.1.4 (i)		Alternative professional staff shall not be proposed, and only one curriculum vitae (CV) may be submitted for each position. CVs must be signed by the proposed personnel on official letterhead of the bidder and the authorized representative submitting the proposal. The CV's are signed on standard A4 size paper as per the	RFP Prevails

			Appendix 10 F signed by the proposed personnel and authorised representative and not on the letter head. Please confirm.	
16.	<p>Page 27, Clause 3.1.4, vi</p> <p>Page 31, Clause 4.5.2, Sl No A, iv</p>	<p>Technical Capacity Criteria</p> <p>The Sole Bidder mentioned above in terms of value, not less than Rupees Fifty (50) Crores each.</p> <p>The value of project implemented should not be less than Rupees ten (10) Crores. For converting the aforesaid rate.</p>	<p>We have noticed two different Project Costs as part of eligibility criteria in page 27 & 31.</p> <p>Please clarify the Value of the Project Cost required to secure full marks.</p>	<p>Clause 3.1.4. vi pertains to qualification criteria while clause no. 4.5.2.A(iv) pertains to evaluation criteria.</p> <p>The scoring criterion has been amended. Please refer to addendum no. 2, sl no. 5.</p> <p>Each qualifying project on STP performance evaluation, as mentioned in clause 3.1.4(a)- iv, read with TOR, subject to maximum 5 nos., shall carry one additional mark</p>
	<p>Page 30, para 4.5.1 A</p> <p>Page 31, Para 4.5.2 A (iv)</p>	<p>Specific experience of the bidder in projects relevant to the assignment: Experience in assignments as mentioned in clause 3.1.4 (a). – 30marks</p> <p>iv) The value of project implemented should not be less than Rupees ten (10) Crores. For converting the project value in Indian Rupees, the reference rate shall be as published by Reserve Bank of India (RBI) as on one</p>	<p>Does it mean that we only need to submit 3 projects only of 50 Cr capital cost each for PQ.</p> <p>Here more than 10 projects will fetch 30 marks with 10 Cr Capital cost of each project mentioned here against INR 50 Cr given under clause 3.1.4. Should we assume 10 Cr in place of 50 Cr? Pl. clarify.</p>	
	<p>Pg 32, Clause 4.5.2 A.(i) and (ii) and (iii)</p>	<p>(i) For first 5 projects maximum marks awarded will be 10 i.e. 2 (Two) for each qualifying project.</p> <p>(ii) For 6 to10 projects maximum marks awarded will be 15 i.e. 3 (Three) for each qualifying project.</p> <p>(iii) For project/s implemented for performance evaluation of STPS 1 additional mark will be</p>	<p>Changes required – The higher scale of 6 to 10 projects gives more marks to projects of similar nature but not necessarily of bigger value or quality which is akin to differentiating between two similar projects. What is the differentiating factors between two types of projects in two different slabs.</p> <p>Further, how 1 additional mark for each qualifying project shall be decided. What constitutes qualifying projects (suppose in performance evaluation of STPs) and on what basis ?</p>	

		awarded for each qualifying project. (maximum marks 5).		
17.	4.5.1 B Page 30		Kindly clarify what document is needed as evidence for proving revenues from eligible projects.	Refer to Appendix 11
18.	Pg 31 Clause 4.5.2	Scoring for the four parameters as mentioned in the clause 4.5.1 shall be awarded as follows:	The value of project implemented should not be less than Rupees ten (10) Crores . We understand that Rs 10 crores implies the consulting fee. Please confirm.	No, the amount of Rs. 10 crore pertains to project cost.
19.	Pg 32 Clause 4.5.2 B		We request the following suggested criteria for financial eligibility : Average turnover for last 3 years INR 5-10 Crores - 2.5 Marks INR 10-15 Crores -5 Marks	RFP Prevails
20.	4.11 Performance Guarantee Page 34		Please confirm the format of Performance Guarantee.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 6
21.	Pg 34, Clause 4.11.1		Please specify the validity period of performance guarantee	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 7
22.	Appendix 1: Schedule of Bidding Process -Page 36		We request you to kindly provide at least 4 (Four) weeks time from the date of issuance of formal clarifications/ corrigendum/ addendums for submission of bid proposal, , in view of pulling the desired info for submitting robust technical submission. Kindly consider.	RFP Prevails
			Considering the complexity and scale of scope of works included in the tender, we request NMCG to extend bid submission date by minimum 4 weeks to 1st Sept 2017.	
		Submission date 4-8-2017	Request you to provide 2 weeks time from the date of bid clarifications.	
			This is an important proposal and thus we request to give at-least 3 weeks after issue of pre-bid minutes to submit a good proposal.	
23.	Appendix 7: Format of Bid Security 2 (g) (ii) -Page 47		Please confirm definition of Success Fee and how it needs to be furnished.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no.8 RFP Clause 2 (g) (ii) of Appendix 7 at page 47 stands deleted.

24.	Pg 54, Appendix 10C	Client certificate shall be enclosed for each work, without client certificate, the performance shall not be considered for evaluation. The client certificate may also include LoA, relevant pages of contract, project completion certificate apart from certificate issued for such purposes clearly indicating client name, project cost, consultancy fees received, project start and end date.	We understand that either Project Completion Certificate or LOA, Work Order, Agreement will be considered for evaluation. Please confirm.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 9
			Please confirm whether Client Award Letter, proof of official communication from the Client, Invoices, Contract extract or any other such documents will be accepted as supporting evidence for Project experience? Please confirm.	
			If the consultant has carried out a project (as a sub consultant) for the Group/ Sister Company, in that event will the certificate issued by the Group/ Sister Company be accepted as evidence towards project experience? Please confirm.	No
			Will project experience of parent Group/ Sister company be acceptable for evaluation without the Group/ Sister company forming part of the consortium?	Experience of the Associate Company to be considered. Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 10
25.	Pg 58 Appendix 10F	Signature	In case of International Key Experts, Authorized Signatory can sign in all the CVs required for evaluation. Kindly consider the same.	Agreed, only in case international expert who is not available in India and if supported by scanned copy of the signed CV by the international experts. However, if selected, the consultant has to submit the signed CV of such key expert before signing the agreement.
26.	Appendix 13, page 61	It is envisaged that the study would cover all the existing 82 STPs in 44 towns along River Ganga. The list of STPs is annexed at page no. 72 to page no. 74 of this RFP document.	It is gigantic work to complete in such a given duration of 6 months. It would be appropriate to divide this entire work into state-wise packages for multiple consultants to complete within given time frame to achieve the objectives of NMCG by 2019. Pl. consider.	RFP Prevails
27.	Page 66,Appendix 13 A:1 (a)-(i)		Scope of physical inspection may please be clarified. Please confirm whether such inspection includes the underground/ buried/ hidden utilities.	If the likely cause of suboptimal performance indicates problems with underground/ buried/ hidden utilities / internal peripheral / interceptor sewerage system / surveys etc, then these needs to be examined by the consultant as part of his scope of work.
			We understand that physical inspection of I&D infrastructure does not includes internal peripheral and interceptor sewerage system, Please confirm.	
			The underground / unexposed assets shall require more time frame and other analysis like CCTV survey, cutting of piece (in case of pipe) to recommend for Repair /	

			Rehab. Please confirm the understanding as this shall have an impact on consultancy cost.	
			Any necessary survey like topography and geotechnical, shall be reimbursed on actual basis and shall not be financially evaluated. Please confirm.	
28.	Page 67, Appendix 13 A:2 (a)		Is it a requirement for consultant to undertake non-destructive testing and energy efficiency studies for each STP falling under this category of requiring detailed investigations? If so; what is to be subject to non-destructive testing and energy efficiency audits and over what period?	Refer to Addendum No.2, sl no. 11 The extent of studies required will depend on case specific requirements. The list of structure requiring non destructive testing (NDT) need to be finalized in consultation with NMCG based on background review findings of the consultant. The consultant need to get the rates approved for such testing from NMCG and the cost of such NDT will be reimbursed on actual basis.
			Quantum for NDT survey may not be ascertained at this stage, we suggest it should be reimbursed on actual basis and may be excluded from financial evaluation. Please confirm.	
29.	Pg 67 Appendix-13; A: 1 (a)-(ii)		What is NMCG's definition of primary and secondary data?	Primary data needs to be generated by the consultant. Secondary data pertains to information already available and requires review and compilation. The sewage characteristics need to be ascertained by consultant under his scope of work
			Please elaborate the scope under collection of primary data & secondary data. We request to specify characteristics of the raw and treated sewage to be analyzed.	
30.	Appendix 13 A 1 a (iv) Page 67 data?		Is the bidder required to include for sampling for 5 to 7 days to collect primary data?	RFP Prevails. The consultant need to undertake necessary field studies, sample collections etc. as per the site / case specific requirements.
31.	Appendix 13 A 1 a (v) Page 67		As the consultant cannot determine how many STPs fall under the category of "requiring detailed investigations; would it not be more reasonable to have a unit rate for these and the consultant be reimbursed per plant so designated; rather than consultant having to "guess" how many are under this category?	Refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 12
32.	Appendix 13: Terms of Reference, subsection A-	Project Implementation Support (c) The Consultant may be	This is quite open ended and request to delete from the TOR as it is adding to confusion. It should be treated as separate assignment as implementation time frame for all STPs will be wide and open ended and consultant may	Yes, the execution supervision & onsite assistance is not included in the current scope of work. However, consultant may

4 Page 69	asked to provide execution supervision & onsite assistance for the rehabilitation works until commissioning of recommended works, if required. (This activity may go beyond 6 months period.)	<p>not have the capacity to deploy staff for all 82 sites. Separate consultancy should be invited based packages formulated.</p> <p>Sub clause 4 (c) states that “the Consultant may be asked.....”. However, following sub clause f (3) indicates fortnightly reports on execution supervision. Further Sub clause 4 (c) also indicates that the activity for supervision may extend beyond the contract period. It is, therefore, not clear whether the Bidder’s scope includes execution supervision and for how long a period.</p> <p>Clause Intends for consultant’s scope during execution supervision & onsite assistance for rehabilitation works until commissioning of recommended works. Please confirm the cost impact of consultancy to work in this phase.</p> <p>Are fees for execution supervision to be included in this tender, if so; for how many plants and for how long?</p> <p>As per subsection A-4 (c), pg 69 we understand that the execution supervision & onsite assistance is conditional (if required) beyond 6 months and therefore is not part of the scope. Please clarify should consultant propose monthly retainership for execution supervision & onsite assistance for the rehabilitation works until commissioning of recommended works in addition to requested price beyond 6 months along with the relevant format.</p> <p>We assume key plans, schematic diagrams would form the basis of tender / DPR submission and therefore detailed design and drawings has not been considered as a part of submission.</p> <p>Please clarify if any Project Management Consultant (PMC) will be appointed for this project who will undertake interface and co-ordination services.</p> <p>Please clarify if any Project Management Consultant (PMC) will be appointed for this project who will undertake interface and co-ordination services.</p>	<p>be asked to provide such services later for which scope and financial implications will be decided at appropriate stage.</p> <p>Pl refer RFP clause no. 4 (a) of Appendix 13 for clarity.</p> <p>As of now, PMC is not contemplated.</p>	
33.	Appendix 13 C f 3, Page 70		<p>We suggest this clause is not applicable for the intended scope and should be deleted.</p>	<p>This clause will be applicable in case the contract scope is extended to cover the execution and supervision works.</p>

34.	TOR, para B, page 69	<p>Period of the Study (“Contract Period”) The consultant is required to complete the entire study within 6 months for the above scope of work, however deliverables shall be arranged in batches so that rehabilitation or augmentation works of existing STPs can commence from January, 2018.</p>	<p>Time duration of 6 months is very less to cover all 82 STPs spread over in 4 states to do good work. I would suggest to divide into couple of packages and enhance time to 12 months so that proper assessment can be done.</p> <p>Looking into the quantum of work, the duration of contract should be 12 Months, in place of 6 Months.</p> <p>In view of magnitude of work involved to prepare techno-commercially sound proposal, we request you to extend the proposal date upto 14 August 2017.</p> <p>We request to revise the period of study to 12 Months from 6 months.</p> <p>The stipulated period of 6 months is only achievable if NMCG and the nodal agencies in each town have an information pack of reports available from the Commencement of the Services. If not, it is unreasonable to expect the consultant to conclude the services in the period.</p> <p>The inference is that a tender process and award will be concluded within the 6months period. This is impractical; and the tender period should be after the 6 months period and last for a further 6months period; so the consultant can understand its cash flow of payments. Kindly clarify.</p>	RFP Prevails
35.	Appendix 13: Terms of Reference, subsection D-5 Page 70	Payment terms	<p>We request you to split tendering process and final report Real-time monitoring of STPs, Centralized Dashboard and Display Mechanism into two separate milestones linking to appropriate payment as below 1-Tendering process for 82 STPs – 10% 2-Final report Real-time monitoring of STPs, Centralized Dashboard and Display Mechanism – 15%</p> <p>Payments terms should be rationalized to maintain good cash flow.</p> <p>The implication is that 25% will only be paid on completion. This is unreasonable given consultant does not determine how and when STPs are tendered by NMCG. We therefore suggest a more balanced payment milestone; which provides for a unit rate for each stage: Issued to tender(10%) evaluated (5%);</p>	Refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no. 11

			awarded (10%)and that a provisional number of tender packages is set down in the payment schedule. Furthermore, if a tender process is started but not concluded for reasons beyond control of consultant that signifies conclusion.	
36.	Appendix 13 D Page 70		The payment of sub items (2) to (4) is dependent on approval by NMCG. As each milestone relates to 25 or 32 STPs; there is a risk that approval may be protracted; or not forthcoming. Therefore, it is proposed that there should be a long stop date of 4 weeks after submission; following which consultant can consider reports approved.	RFP prevails If approval is not granted within 6 weeks of submission, due to unresolved queries, the payment of the related milestone shall be released with the condition that the same need to be complied before the next milestone for payment.
37.	Appendix 13: Terms of Reference, subsection E-5 - Staff Qualifications, Experience and Other Requirements of Proposed Staff Page 71		We believe both Electrical and Mechanical key staff are equally important for this project and therefore an opportunity should be provided to the consultant to designate either of them as Deputy Team Leader with 15 years of experience.	Please refer to Addendum No. 2, sl no.13
			We request to consider qualification of graduate in civil engineering as well for the position of deputy team leader	
			Dy. Team Leader: Graduate in Mechanical Engineering with 15+ years and should also have experience in Water Waste water treatment projects. It is requested that the Dy Team Leader should be Graduate in Civil/Mechanical Engineering with 15+ years and should also have experience in Water Wastewater treatment projects.	
			In view of nature of work, we suggest to include key personnel of Mechanical and structural experts also.	
38.	Appendix 13: NMCG's Input and Counterpart Personnel, subsection G Page 74		Please specify comprehensive data list which will be provided by NMCG at the commencement of the study.	It is the responsibility of the selected consultant to identify the requirement of necessary data set for the study and to collect the same from concerned executing agencies. If required, NMCG will provide facilitation assistance.
39.	General Queries		Please specify approval mechanisms for payments.	Technical reports will require approval of competent authorities of EAs , In-charge

				Payment will be effected by NMCG
40.	General Queries		<p>Contract document should be shared.</p> <p>We request you to provide draft Contract Document. To understand the contractual terms and conditions of the engagement.</p> <p>Request NMCG to provide Form of Agreement with the tender document.</p> <p>We request NMCG to share the General Conditions of Contract (GCC) of consultancy.</p>	Please refer to contract document already uploaded on website
41.	General Queries		Please clarify contracting strategy for construction, is it to be an item rate contract or EPC? We understand that since a preliminary engineering design output is required as deliverables, the tender documentation shall be for Design & Built model of EPC contracting based on detailed design conducted by the appointed contractor. Please clarify and confirm our understanding of the construction contracting strategy.	The contracting strategy is project / site specific, to be recommended by the consultant at appropriate stage as part of his scope of work.
42.	General Queries		Client is requested to clearly 'define and demarcate the project extents/ service level parameters' at the beginning of the project. The Consultant will undertake the design services based on this defined project extents/ service level parameters. Any 'change/ deviation to this project extents/ parameters' will be the subject of 'change control' Considering the nature of consultancy, i.e. prelim design, any change in the project extents/ population projections/ demand projections/ service level parameters has significant impact on the 'already achieved milestone and the subsequent deliverables – which results into rework – and is a huge risk. Therefore, to mitigate this risk on either side, we request the Client to introduce 'appropriate clause on Change Control Mechanism' in the contract. Please consider and confirm.	The scope of services is defined under Appendix 13. The modifications / variations, if any, will be governed in accordance with the contract agreement.
43.	General		To postpone the pre bid meeting by 10 Days.	RFP Prevails